

1. Additional Comments Ref Chelmsford City Council Local Impact Report

1.1 Chelmsford City Council have submitted as part of their Written Representation Response a Local Impact Report(LIR) . Its main purpose is to identify the policies in its Local Plan and their relevance to the Longfield Solar Farm Development and how the developments accord with these policies.

The LIR also provides details as to the likely impact of the proposed development on the authority's area, and whether the Local Authority considers this proposed development to be a positive, negative, or neutral effect on the area.

1.2 The LIR is prepared within the guidelines and requirements of the Planning Act 2008 and advice Note One issued by the Infrastructure Planning Commission in April 2012

1.3 Within the section ; **Historic Environment, Designated and non -designated sites excluding archaeology** Chelmsford City Council outlines on page 29 in point **6.152**, the main issues being the impact of the development on heritage assets, both designated and no designated and adequacy of mitigation measures.

1.4 It goes on to say in **6.163** ***"The level of harm identified within the assessment is generally concurred with, but there are several locations where there is additional harm. The group of buildings comprising Stocks Farm, The Thatched Cottage, Stocks Cottages, Little Holts (Grade II listed) and Whalebone Cottages which are sited to the east and west of Boreham Road, rely on a rural setting which contributes to their significance, especially Stocks which was directly associated with the agricultural landscape. Stocks Farm is a traditional farmstead with group value and should be considered as medium value/significance."***

1.5 it further adds in **6.164** ***"The landscaping and offset proposed to the western side of field PDA 28 is not adequate to mitigate the impacts. It has previously been suggested the western limit of PDA 28 should be moved away from this group of historic buildings, which is not reflected in the current scheme."***

2. Relevance to Frederik G Bentleys Written Response

2.1 The Chelmsford City Council LIR, reinforces the objections and concerns raised on behalf of Mr F G Bentley in his Written Representation. This proposal in its current form is harmful to his property ,it is too close. The mitigation measures outlined by the Applicant are inadequate.

John McKenna

On behalf of Frederik G Bentley